

Robust and sensitive indicators of groundwater health and biodiversity

Kathryn Korbel

2012

Certificate of Authorship

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Student

Table of contents

ABSTRACT	XI
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	XIII
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION TO GROUNDWATER, ITS MANAGEMENT AND GROUNDWATER ECOSYSTEMS	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	2
1.2 MANAGING GROUNDWATER HEALTH	3
1.2.1 FEDERAL AND NSW STATE INITIATIVES	4
1.2.2 COTTON INDUSTRY AND GROUNDWATER IN AUSTRALIA	4
1.2.3 IMPLEMENTING LEGISLATION AND PLANS	6
1.3 GROUNDWATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS	6
1.3.1 TYPES OF GROUNDWATER DEPENDENT ECOSYSTEMS	6
1.3.2 GROUNDWATER ECOSYSTEMS	8
1.4 AIM, OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESES	9
1.5 THESIS STRUCTURE	10
CHAPTER 2: A TIERED FRAMEWORK FOR ASSESSING GROUNDWATER ECOSYSTEM HEALTH	13
PREAMBLE	14
2.1 ABSTRACT	15
2.2 INTRODUCTION	16
2.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	17
2.3.1. FRAMEWORK DEVELOPMENT	17
2.3.2 FRAMEWORK TESTING: CASE STUDY	17
2.4 RESULTS	18
2.4.1. DEFINITION AND ATTRIBUTES OF HEALTH GROUNDWATER ECOSYSTEMS	19
2.4.2. DEVELOPMENT OF A FRAMEWORK FOR GROUNDWATER ECOSYSTEM HEALTH	31
2.5 DISCUSSION	45
2.6 CONCLUSION	45
CHAPTER 3: HABITAT, WATER QUALITY, CLIMATE OR SITE? IDENTIFYING ENVIRONMENTAL CORRELATES OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF GROUNDWATER BIOTA	47
PREAMBLE	48
3.1 ABSTRACT	49
3.2 INTRODUCTION	50
3.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	51
3.3.1. STUDY REGION	51
3.3.2 COLLECTION METHODS	52

3.3.3 DATA ANALYSIS	56
3.4 RESULTS	57
3.4.1 WATER QUALITY AND SITE ATTRIBUTES	57
3.4.2 BIOTA	59
3.5 DISCUSSION	67
3.5.1 WATER CHEMISTRY	68
3.5.2. HABITAT STRUCTURE	69
3.5.3. SITE VARIABLES	71
3.5.4 CLIMATIC CONDITIONS	73
3.6 CONCLUSION	74

CHAPTER 4: GROUNDWATER ECOSYSTEMS VARY WITH LAND USE ACROSS A MIXED AGRICULTURAL LANDSCAPE	75
---	-----------

PREAMBLE	76
4.1 ABSTRACT	77
4.2 INTRODUCTION	78
4.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	79
4.3.1 STUDY REGION	79
4.3.2 LAND USES CLASSIFICATIONS	81
4.3.3 SAMPLING REGIME	82
4.4 RESULTS	88
4.4.1 WATER QUALITY	88
4.4.2 MICROBIAL COMMUNITY	90
4.5 DISCUSSION	97
4.5.1 WATER QUALITY	97
4.5.2 MICROBIAL ASSEMBLAGES	99
4.5.3 STYGOFUNA	101
4.6 CONCLUSION	103

CHAPTER 5: AN INTER-CATCHMENT COMPARISON OF FACTORS INFLUENCING THE DISTRIBUTION OF GROUNDWATER BIOTA IN NW NSW	104
--	------------

PREAMBLE	105
5.1 ABSTRACT	106
5.2 INTRODUCTION	107
5.3 METHODS	109
5.3.1 STUDY SITE	109
5.3.2 FIELD SAMPLING	112
5.3.3 DATA ANALYSIS	115
5.4 RESULTS	116
5.4.1 WATER QUALITY	116
5.4.2 MICROBIAL ASSEMBLAGES	116
5.4.3 STYGOFUNAL COMMUNITIES	123
5.5 DISCUSSION	132
5.5.1 VARIATION IN BIOTA BETWEEN CATCHMENTS	132
5.5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH BIOTA.	134
5.5.3 EVOLUTIONARY PROCESSES	137
5.5.4 CONSEQUENCES FOR MONITORING	139
5.6 CONCLUSION	139

CHAPTER 6: APPLICATION OF A FRAMEWORK FOR GROUNDWATER HEALTH: A CASE STUDY	140
PREAMBLE	141
6.1 ABSTRACT	142
6.2 INTRODUCTION	143
6.3 STUDY SITE	144
6.4 MATERIALS AND METHODS	146
6.4.1 REFERENCE SITE SELECTION	146
6.4.2 INDICATOR CHOICE.	147
6.4.3 WATER QUALITY INDICATORS	148
6.4.4 MICROBIOTA INDICATORS	149
6.4.5 STYGOFUNA INDICATORS	149
6.4.6 SITE AND SAMPLE ATTRIBUTES INDICATORS	150
6.5 APPLYING THE FRAMEWORK	150
6.5.1 TIER 1 BENCHMARKS	150
6.5.2 TIER 2 BENCHMARKS	150
6.5.3 RANKING HEALTH	151
6.5.4 WEIGHTED HEALTH RANKINGS	152
6.6 RESULTS	156
6.6.1 TIER 1 ASSESSMENT	156
6.6.2 TIER 2 ASSESSMENT	158
6.7 DISCUSSION	165
6.7.1 TIER 1 ASSESSMENT	165
6.7.2 TIER 2 BENCHMARK SETTING	166
6.7.3 TIER 2 WEIGHTED SYSTEM	166
6.7.4 PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF THE FRAMEWORK	168
6.8 CONCLUSION	169
CHAPTER 7: SYNTHESIS	171
7.1 INTRODUCTION	172
7.2 DEFINITION OF GROUNDWATER HEALTH	172
7.3 KNOWLEDGE GAPS IN GROUNDWATER ECOLOGY	173
7.4 IMPROVEMENTS IN OUR KNOWLEDGE OF GROUNDWATER ECOSYSTEMS	174
7.4.1 BIODIVERSITY IN ALLUVIAL AQUIFERS	174
7.4.2 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES AND BIOTA	176
7.4.3 ANTHROPOGENIC IMPACTS ON GROUNDWATER ECOSYSTEMS	177
7.5 BIOMONITORING AND GROUNDWATER HEALTH	178
7.5.1 FRAMEWORK FOR MEASURING GROUNDWATER HEALTH	179
7.5.2 TOWARDS INTEGRATED SURFACE AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT.	182
7.6 RECOMMENDATIONS	182
7.7 CONCLUSION	183
BIBLIOGRAPHY	185
APPENDIX A:	209
INTRODUCTION	209
IS COTTON-STRIP TENSILE STRENGTH A SURROGATE FOR MICROBIAL ACTIVITY IN GROUNDWATER?	210

APPENDIX B:	234
TAXA COLLECTED FROM THE GYWDIR AND NAMOI CATCHMENTS	234

List of Tables

Table 1: Environmental factors influencing groundwater biota	22
Table 2: Potential indicators of groundwater ecosystem health.	23
Table 3: Potential benchmarks of groundwater ecosystem health for Tier 1 assessment.	30
Table 4: Indices and their thresholds developed as a case study of Tier 2 indicators for aquifer ecosystem health assessment in the Gwydir River catchment, NSW, Australia. Text in bold highlights non-compliance with threshold values. Rank 1 indicates most similar to reference condition.	43
Table 5: Types and methodology for measuring and categorizing environmental variables in groundwater sampling sites in the Gwydir River catchment, NSW, Australia.	53
Table 6: Pearson product moment correlation matrix of environmental variables. Values in bold font indicate significant correlations. Greyed areas indicates analysis between categorical variables have been omitted.	58
Table 7: Relationship between environmental variables and microbial assemblages (Biolog TM method) in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer. Marginal tests indicate the relationship between environmental variables and assemblages individually. The sequential test indicates the relationship between environmental variables and assemblages as determined by stepwise multiple regression, with the R^2 values indicating the relationship of a variable once those listed above it have already been fitted to the stepwise model.	62
Table 8: Proportion of variation in biotic assemblages accounted for by combined suites of variables	63
Table 9: Relationship between environmental variables and stygofauna assemblages in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer, NW NSW Australia. Marginal tests indicate the relationship between environmental variables and assemblages individually. The sequential test indicates the relationship between environmental variables and assemblages as determined by stepwise multiple regression, with the R^2 values indicating the relationship of a variable once those listed above it have already been fitted to the stepwise model.	67
Table 10: Rainfall in Moree (site 053115), NW NSW Australia; 2007-2008	81
Table 11: Environmental conditions within Gwydir River catchment bores	83
Table 12: Average water quality results for bore water with Gwydir River catchment within different land use categories. Bold indicates significant difference to other landuses.	86
Table 13: Average number of taxa per land use type in Moree region	93
Table 14: Methodology for measuring and categorizing environmental variables at groundwater sampling sites in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer, NSW, Australia (from Chapter 3)	113
Table 15: Relationship between environmental variables and microbial community (Biolog TM) in groundwater bores in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer. Marginal tests indicate the relationship between environmental variables and microbial assemblages individually. The sequential test indicates the relationship between environmental variables and microbial community as determined by stepwise multiple regression, with the R^2 values indicating the relationship of a variable once those listed above it have already been fitted to the stepwise model.	120
Table 16: Relationship between environmental variables and microbial community (Biolog TM) in groundwater bores in the Namoi River alluvial aquifer. Marginal tests indicate the relationship between environmental variables and microbial assemblages individually. The sequential test indicates the relationship between environmental variables and microbial community as determined by stepwise multiple regression, with the R^2 values indicating the relationship of a variable once those listed above it have already been fitted to the stepwise model.	122
Table 17: Relationship between environmental variables and stygofauna community in groundwater bores in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer. Marginal tests indicate the relationship between environmental variables and stygofauna assemblages individually. The sequential test indicates the relationship between environmental variables and stygofauna community as determined by stepwise multiple regression, with the R^2 values indicating the relationship of a variable once those listed above it have already been fitted to the stepwise model.	125
Table 18: Relationship between environmental variables and stygofauna community in groundwater bores in the Namoi River alluvial aquifer. Marginal tests indicate the relationship between environmental variables and stygofauna assemblages individually. The sequential test indicates the relationship between environmental variables and stygofauna community as determined by stepwise multiple	

<i>regression, with the R^2 values indicating the relationship of a variable once those listed above it have already been fitted to the stepwise model.</i>	128
<i>Table 19: Tier 1 indicators.</i>	147
<i>Table 20: Indices selection for Tier 2 assessment</i>	148
<i>Table 21: Environmental attributes used for weighting in Tier 2 Assessment:</i>	155
<i>Table 22: Tier 1 assessment of Gwydir River catchment sites.</i>	157
<i>Table 23: Tier 1 assessment of Namoi River catchment sites</i>	158
<i>Table 24: Tier 2 indices chosen and thresholds for reference sites.</i>	159
<i>Table 25: Tier 2 assessment: number of thresholds exceeded from each indicator group shown, from the Gwydir River catchment.</i>	162
<i>Table 26: Tier 2 assessment: number of thresholds exceeded from each indicator group shown, from the Namoi River catchment.</i>	163

List of Figures

Figure 1: A tiered framework for assessing groundwater ecosystem health.	33
Figure 2 : Map of study region, Moree NW NSW, Australia.	51
Figure 3: PCA ordination of sites based on environmental data, showing correlations among environmental data. Together both axes account for 36.3% of the variation in the environmental data.	59
Figure 4: Variation in microbial activity measured as cotton strip strength in groundwater of the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer, NW NSW Australia. a). Mean (\pm Std. dev) cotton strength across sampling occasions, and b) relationship between cotton strength and nitrate-N concentrations in groundwater. Letters denote significant differences between groups ($p < 0.05$).	60
Figure 5: dbRDA ordination of microbial community (Biolog TM) constrained by a variety of environmental variables (see methodology in text) in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer, NW NSW Australia.	61
Figure 6: Mean (\pm Std dev) measures of stygofaunal abundance with a) soil type, and b) volume of sediment retrieved, c) abundance of trees and with d) % organic matter in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer, NSW Australia (measurements described in Table 5). Letters denote significant differences between groups ($p < 0.05$).	65
Figure 7: Mean (\pm Std dev) measures of stygofaunal richness with a) soil type, and b) volume of sediment retrieved, c) abundance of trees and with d) % organic matter in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer, NSW Australia (measurements described in Table 5). Letters denote significant differences between groups ($p < 0.05$).	66
Figure 8: dbRDA ordination of stygofauna community constrained by a variety of environmental variables (see methodology in text) in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer, NW NSW Australia.	66
Figure 9: Map of study sites in areas of different land use in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer, NSW.	80
Figure 10: Mean (\pm Std dev) a) nitrate, b) soluble reactive phosphorus, c) dissolved organic carbon and d) the carbon: nitrogen ratio in water samples collected from sites under different land use in winter (light bars) and summer (dark bars) in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer, NSW Australia.	89
Figure 11: Principal components analysis ordination of groundwater quality from irrigated (grey symbols), non-irrigated (open symbols) and grazing (black symbols) sites in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer NSW, Australia collected over winter (triangles) and summer (circles).	90
Figure 12: Mean (\pm Std dev) measures of microbial assemblages, a) functional richness, measured as the number of different carbon sources used on Biolog Ecoplates, and b) tensile strength of cotton strips deployed into bores at sites under different land use in winter (light bars) and summer (dark bars) in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer, NSW Australia.	91
Figure 13: Non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination of microbial functional assemblages from irrigated (grey symbols), non-irrigated (open symbols) and grazing (black symbols) sites in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer NSW, Australia collected over winter (triangles) and summer (circles). Stress = 0.11.	92
Figure 14: Mean (\pm Std dev) a) total stygofauna abundance, b) richness, c) cyclopoid copepod abundance, d) harpacticoid copepod abundance, e) Phreodrilidae oligochaete abundance and f) Notobathynella sp. (Syncarida) abundance f) Paramelitidae abundance in samples collected from sites under different land use in winter (light bars) and summer (dark bars) in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer, NSW Australia. (Figure continued on next page).	95
Figure 15: Non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination of stygofauna assemblages from irrigated (grey symbols), non-irrigated (open symbols) and grazing (black symbols) samples in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer NSW, Australia collected over winter (triangles) and summer (circles). Stress = 0.16. Lines drawn are trend lines only.	97
Figure 16: Map of study sites in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer, NSW.	110
Figure 17: Map of study sites in the Namoi River alluvial aquifer, NSW.	111
Figure 18: Variation in microbial activity (measured as tensile strength loss using the CSA method) with soil type in the Gwydir River (shaded) and Namoi River (striped) alluvial aquifers, NSW Australia	117
Figure 19: Variation in microbial activity (measured as CSA) with stygofaunal abundance in the a) Gwydir River and b) Namoi River catchments.	117
Figure 20: PCA ordination of microbial functional assemblages (Biolog TM) in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer (circles) and the Namoi River alluvial aquifer (diamonds), NW NSW Australia. (Eigen values: axis 1 = 0.911, axis 2 = 0.358).	118

Figure 21: dbRDA ordination of microbial community (Biolog TM), constrained by a variety of environmental variables (see below table) in the Gwydir River catchment in winter (triangles) and summer (circles), NW NSW Australia. Vectors indicate strength and direction of relationship. Only vectors with axis correlations >0.2 are shown.	119
Figure 22: dbRDA ordination of microbial community (Biolog TM) constrained by a variety of environmental variables (see below table) in the Namoi River catchment, NW NSW Australia, in winter (triangles) and summer (circles). Only vectors with axis correlations >0.2 are shown.	121
Figure 23: MDS ordination of stygofaunal communities in the Gwydir River alluvial aquifer (circles) and Namoi River alluvial aquifer (diamonds) NSW, Australia.	123
Figure 24: dbRDA ordination of stygofaunal assemblages constrained by a variety of environmental variables (see below table) in the Gwydir River catchment, NW NSW Australia, in winter (triangles) and summer (circles), NW NSW Australia. Only vectors with axis correlations >0.2 are shown.	124
Figure 25: dbRDA ordination of stygofaunal community constrained by a variety of environmental variables (see below table) in the Namoi River catchment in winter (triangles) and summer (circles), NW NSW Australia. Only vectors with axis correlations >0.2 are shown.	127
Figure 26: : Mean (\pm Std dev) measures of stygofaunal average total abundance	129
Figure 27: Mean (\pm Std dev) measures of stygofaunal average richness, with a) soil type, and b) tree abundance, c) % organic matter and d) with catchment, in the Gwydir River (shaded) and Namoi River (striped) alluvial aquifers, NSW Australia, NSW Australia. Letters denote significant differences between groups ($p < 0.05$).	130
Figure 28: Mean (\pm Std dev) of large (a), medium (b) and small (c) animals compared to sediment size in the Namoi (striped) and Gwydir (filled) River catchments. . Letters denote significant differences between groups ($p < 0.05$).	131
Figure 29: Location of site in the Gwydir River catchment, NW NSW	144
Figure 30: Location of sites in the Namoi River catchment, NW NSW	145
Figure 31: Diagram of weighting procedure for ecosystem health ranking	154

Abstract

Investigations into eastern Australian groundwater ecology significantly lag those of Europe, USA and other regions of Australia. Despite this, this region has potential to contain significant biodiversity. This thesis provides the first ecological study within the semi-arid region of northwest New South Wales (NW NSW), Australia. The study region lies within the Murray Darling Basin, the most significant inland water source in Australia. This catchment is facing increased pressure from not only agricultural and potable usage, but also from mineral and coal seam gas explorations. The primary aim of this thesis was to identify biological indicators of groundwater ecosystem health to enable the monitoring of these ecosystems as required by Federal and State legislation and policy.

This thesis confirms speculation that significant biodiversity is present in aquifers of eastern Australia. The two adjacent aquifers studied, (i.e. the Gwydir and Namoi River shallow alluvial aquifers), have significant biodiversity with 21 and 14 taxa inhabiting these aquifers respectively. The poor taxonomic knowledge of stygofauna within the region, coupled with the potential for cryptic species may uncover a greater diversity.

The relationship between the stygofauna and microbial distribution, environmental variables and human influences was investigated. Biota within the adjacent aquifers was found to respond to similar environmental variables with stygofauna distribution most greatly influenced by habitat and site attributes, and microbes responding greatest to climate and water quality attributes. Impacts of irrigation activities were evident on biotic communities in both catchments. Distinct differences in groundwater biotic communities between catchments were noted, whilst the ecosystems retained similar functional assemblages of biota. It is speculated that this was the result of evolutionary processes.

Finally, this thesis synthesizes the above findings with generic knowledge of groundwater ecosystems to provide a multimetric tiered framework to assess groundwater health based on land use. The framework provides a range of assessment techniques at two levels of assessment, allowing a coarse and inexpensive assessment

based on worldwide generic indicators of health (Tier 1), and a more detailed assessment based on locally set benchmarks (Tier 2). The assessment methods have proven to be robust, allowing adjacent catchments with similar environmental and geological history to be assessed utilising one set of benchmarks, and may be applicable in other regions of Australia and indeed globally. Ultimately it is hoped that the framework will enable land and water managers to assess groundwater health and be incorporated into an integrated surface and groundwater monitoring program.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Dr Richard Lim (UTS), Dr Grant Hose (Macquarie University) for their continued advice and support throughout my PhD. I thank Grant Hose for the dedication he has shown to this project, without his help and guidance, this thesis would not have been completed. Grant has provided countless hours of advice and has been extremely supportive of my work and to that I am in his debt.

I acknowledge the support NSW Office of Water has given in providing access to bores, bore logs and analyses of water samples. I would like to express my appreciation to the following people for assisting me with field trips for this project, these people are Chris Whetters, Edward Korbel, Sarah Stephenson, Josie Lategan, Peter Hancock and Grant Hose. Peter Hancock and Peter Serov provided invaluable assistance with identification of stygofauna. I also gratefully acknowledge the Cotton Catchment Communities CRC and the School of the Environment, UTS, for providing financial support for this project.

Lastly I would like to thank my family for being patient throughout the course of this PhD, and ask for my children's forgiveness in forgetting to make school lunches and send excursion money on numerous occasions in the last couple of months!